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## CAMBRIDGE

## National Rail Capacity Study

- Requested by the National Surface Transportation Policy and Revenue Study Commission
- Commissioned by the Association of American Railroads
- Prepared by Cambridge Systematics, Inc.


## Purpose

- Estimate the rail freight infrastructure improvements and investments needed to meet the U.S. Department of Transportation's projected demand for rail freight transportation in 2035
- The U.S. DOT estimates that the demand for rail freight transportation - measured in tonnage - will increase 88 percent by 2035


## Establish Current Trains/Day

- Railroads designated primary rail corridors (e.g., high volume, trade-critical corridors)
- Used current loaded railcars from 2005 STB Carload Waybill Sample data for an $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile day
- Estimated empty railcar movements from empty return rates in the Uniform Rail Costing System
- Converted from railcars/day to trains/day using average train lengths by service type
- Added current passenger trains/day from public timetables
- Sent to railroads for review and corrections


## National Rail Freight Network and Primary Rail Freight Corridors



## Primary Rail Freight Corridors



## Current Corridor Volumes 2005 Freight Trains and 2007 Passenger Trains per Day



## Establish Future Trains/Day

- Estimated future train volumes using U.S. DOT FAF².2 rail commodity growth forecasts to 2035
- Added current passenger trains (no forecast)


## Future Corridor Volumes

2035 Freight Trains and 2007 Passenger Trains per Day


## Growth in Trains per Day 2005 to 2035



## Percentage Growth in Trains per Day 2005 to 2035



## Determine Capacity by Corridor

- Approximated corridor train capacity based on number of tracks, type of signal system, and mix of train types
- Worked with railroads to develop capacity tables for archtypical corridors
- Calculated volume-to-capacity ratio in trains per day and assigned level-of-service grades to corridor


## Averaged Capacity Table

| Tracks \& Control | Practical Max <br> w/Multiple Trains <br> Types | Practical Max <br> w/Single Train <br> Type |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | No signal | 16 | 20 |
| 1 | ABS | 18 | 25 |
| 2 | No signal | 28 | 35 |
| 1 | CTC | 30 | 48 |
| 2 | ABS | 53 | 80 |
| 2 | CTC | 75 | 100 |
| 3 | CTC | 133 | 163 |

## Volume-to-Capacity Ratios and Level of Service (LOS) Grades

| LOS Grade |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Description }\end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| A |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Low to moderate train } \\ \text { Ratio }\end{array}$ |  |
| B | Below Capacity |  |  |
| flows with capacity to |  |  |  |
| accommodate |  |  |  |
| maintenance and recover |  |  |  |
| from incidents |  |  |  |$)$

## Current Train Volumes Compared to Current Train Capacity



## Primary Rail Corridor Mileage by Current Level of Service Grade

Current Volumes and Current Capacity

| LOS Grade | Total Mileage | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 9,719 | $19 \%$ |
| B | 15,417 | $30 \%$ |
| C | 20,683 | $39 \%$ |
| D | 4,952 | $9 \%$ |
| E | 1,461 | $3 \%$ |
| F | 108 | $<1 \%$ |
| Totals | 52,340 | $100 \%$ |

## Future Corridor Volumes Compared to Current Corridor Capacity

 2035 without Improvements

Primary Rail Corridor Mileage by Future Level of Service Grade 2035 without Improvements

| LOS Grade | Total Mileage | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 4,895 | $9 \%$ |
| B | 6,626 | $13 \%$ |
| C | 11,708 | $23 \%$ |
| D | 5,353 | $10 \%$ |
| E | 7,980 | $15 \%$ |
| F | 15,778 | $30 \%$ |
| Totals | 52,340 | $100 \%$ |

## Estimated Line Expansion Cost

- Programmed line capacity improvements to accommodate future trains at an acceptable level of service
- Worked with railroads to develop unit cost table for line expansion
- Used least cost improvement
- No "catch-up"


## Averaged Unit Cost Table For Line Expansion

| From |  | To |  | Construction <br> Cost <br> (permile) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tacks | Control | Tracks | Control | CTC-TCS |
| 1 | N/S-TWC | 1 | CT00,000 |  |
| 2 | NS-TWC | 2 | CTC-TCS | $\$ 700,000$ |
| 1 | ABS | 1 | CTC-TCS | $\$ 500,000$ |
| 2 | ABS | 2 | CTC-TCS | $\$ 600,000$ |
| 1 | CTC-TCS | 2 | CTC-TCS | $\$ 3,800,000$ |
| 2 | CTC-TCS | 3 | CTC-TCS | $\$ 4,400,000$ |
| 3 | CTC-TCS | 4 | CTC-TCS | $\$ 4,400,000$ |
| 4 | CTC-TCS | 5 | CTC-TCS | $\$ 4,400,000$ |
| 5 | CTC-TCS | 6 | CTC-TCS | $\$ 4,400,000$ |

## Future Train Volumes Compared to Future Train Capacity 2035 with Improvements



Primary Rail Corridor Mileage by Future Level of Service Grade 2035 with Improvements

| LOS Grade | Total Mileage | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 4,895 | $9 \%$ |
| B | 15,198 | $29 \%$ |
| C | 31,036 | $59 \%$ |
| D | 608 | $1 \%$ |
| E | 597 | $1 \%$ |
| F | 6 | $<1 \%$ |
| Totals | 52,340 | $100 \%$ |

## Percentage of Rail-Freight Primary Corridor Route-Miles by Level of Service Grade

Percentage of Primary
Corridor Route-Miles


## Estimated Investment Requirements

- Line expansion approximately two-thirds of total cost
- Calculated cost of other improvements (excluding land cost)
- Significant bridges and tunnels
- New and expanded carload and intermodal terminals
- New and expanded support facilities
- 286,000 lbs upgrades
- Compared investment needs to current and anticipated investment capacity
- Calculated investment requirements


## Investment Needed

| Infrastructure Improvement | Class I <br> Freight Railroads | Short Line and Regional Freight Railroads | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line Haul Expansion | \$94,750 | \$320 | \$95,070 |
| Major Bridges, Tunnels, and Clearance | \$19,400 | \$5,000 | \$24,400 |
| Branch Line Upgrades | \$2,390 | \$7,230 | \$9,620 |
| Intermodal Terminal Expansion | \$9,320 |  | \$9,320 |
| Carload Terminal Expansion | \$6,620 |  | \$6,620 |
| Service Facilities | \$2,550 |  | \$2,550 |
| Totals | \$135,030 | \$12,550 | \$147,580 |

## Key Findings

- An investment of $\$ 148$ billion (in 2007 dollars) for infrastructure expansion over the next 28 years is required to keep pace with economic growth and meet the U.S. DOT's forecast demand
- Class I freight railroads' share is projected to be $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 5}$ billion
- Short line and regional freight railroads' share is projected to be $\$ 13$ billion
- Without this investment, 30 percent of the rail miles in the primary corridors will be operating above capacity by 2035, causing severe congestion that will affect every region of the country and potentially shift freight to an already heavily congested highway system


## Key Findings (continued)

- The Class I railroads anticipate that they will be able to generate approximately $\$ 96$ billion of their $\$ 135$ billion share through increased earnings from revenue growth, higher volumes, and productivity improvements, while continuing to renew existing infrastructure and equipment
- This leaves a balance for the Class I freight railroads of \$39 billion or about \$1.4 billion per year to be funded from railroad investment tax incentives, public-private partnerships, or other sources

